

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/071,872	02/07/2002		Waheguru Pal Singh	LYNN/0144	8734	
24945	7590	02/18/2004		EXAMINER		
STREETS a			BOS, STEVEN J			
SUITE 355	HWEST	FREEWAI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
HOUSTON,	TX 770	40	1754			

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No	Applicant(s)			
آمر به المه							
	Office Action Summary	10/071,87	2	SINGH, WAHEGURU PA			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Steven Bo		1754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failure	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no evertion.  s, a reply within the statur  y period will apply and will y statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be time tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	) .					
2a)□	•	This action is no	on-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□	<ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) Claim(s) 47-50 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) Claim(s) 1-3,6-18,20-23,25,32-38,41,46 and 51 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) 4,5,19,24,26-31,39,40 and 42-45 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Ex. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[ Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b)[ to the drawing(s) becorrection is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ tr No(s)/Mail Date <u>042202 &amp; 111703</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/071,872

Art Unit: 1754

In claims 1-3,6,11,34-36,39,47,48, "one or more metal anion complex" is ungrammatical and should be – complexes --.

In claim 1, line 6, it would be clearer to recite — the one or more anion complexes --.

In claim 1, last line, "amines" should not be plural.

In claims 17,18, "are between" is ungrammatical; it should be – is between –.

In claims 34-36,39, "comprises" should be – comprise – after making the above noted change in these claims.

In claim 49, "amines are" should be - amine is --.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 37,38,41,51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 37,38, "the diquaternary ammonium halide" lack(s) proper antecedent basis in the claim(s).

In claim 41, "the Pd anion complex" lack(s) proper antecedent basis in the claim(s).

In claim 41, "the Pt anion complex" lack(s) proper antecedent basis in the claim(s).

Application/Control Number: 10/071,872

Art Unit: 1754

In claim 51, e, "the second valuable metal anions" lack(s) proper antecedent basis in the claim(s).

In claim 51, f, "the aqueous solution" lack(s) proper antecedent basis in the claim(s).

In claim 51, f, "the selectively bound second valuable metal anions" lack(s) proper antecedent basis in the claim(s).

In claim 51, g, "steps" lack(s) proper antecedent basis in the claim(s) as the steps of the claim are not recited as such.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3,6-18,20-23,25,32-38,46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ZA 8006992.

ZA '992 suggests the instantly claimed process of extracting silver and platinum group metal anion complexes, which would include the instantly claimed Pt, Pd, and Rh, from an acidic aqueous solution containing same with a diquaternary ammonium compound in a water immiscible organic solvent. The taught diquaternary ammonium compound is the same as the instantly claimed diquaternary amine compound. The taught diquaternary ammonium compound may also be considered an iodide. See pp.

Application/Control Number: 10/071,872

Art Unit: 1754

Page 4

2,3,4,9,10, examples and the claims. The taught examples suggest the instantly claimed concentrations of diquaternary amines.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Bos whose telephone number is 571-272-1350. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8AM-6PM but is on increased flexitime sch.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ıary Examiner